

YOU BE THE JUDGE!

Directions: *Read and discuss your assigned scenario below. Along with your group members, refer to Handout B to identify which protection(s) and amendment(s) are called into question. Then address the constitutional issue in the second question.*

SCENARIO 1

Mary inherited a parcel of land on the outskirts of an Iowa town that has been in her family for generations. Even though her family has never utilized the land, she now wishes to build, along with her brothers, a small family restaurant on the inherited land. Mary applies for a building permit, and finds out that the Iowa legislature recently passed a law preventing further construction on land designated as "protected wetlands." Her land, it turns out, is now designated as "protected wetlands," and she is denied a building permit for any future building on the property.

A. What protection(s) and amendment(s) are involved?

B. Should the State of Iowa pay Mary for the inability to use her land?

SCENARIO 2

The student body of Lakewood High School, a public school, took a vote. By a vast majority, they voted to conduct a student-led prayer over the public address system of their football stadium before the kick-off of each home game. They elected Paul, the student-body president, to conduct the non-denominational prayer. Jane, an atheist, objected. She was neither required to participate, nor punished for refusing. Nonetheless, Jane believes the public prayer itself to be unconstitutional.

A. What protection(s) and amendment(s) are involved?

B. Should the students of Lakewood High School be allowed to vote on a prayer to be read publicly at games?

YOU BE THE JUDGE! (CONT.)

SCENARIO 3

Benny has been found guilty of a heinous crime: attacking and killing his boss in a fit of rage. In the sentencing phase of his trial, Benny's lawyers produced two psychologists who testified to the fact that Benny was, in fact, mentally retarded. Benny's lawyers and psychologists argued that the jury should not be allowed to assign the death penalty as punishment for Benny's crimes. It was quite probable, the psychologists testified, that Benny did not fully understand the outcome of his actions, and while this fact does not absolve him of punishment, he should not be put to death.

A. What protection(s) and amendment(s) are involved?

B. Should the jury be allowed to assign the death penalty as punishment for Benny's crime?

SCENARIO 4

Kate and Jim were ardent followers of the Amish faith, and, following Amish doctrine, did not wish to enroll their children in school beyond the 8th grade. Their state legislature, however, had passed a law requiring all children to attend school until age 16. Such a law, Kate and Jim believed, violated the duties required of them as an Amish family, and they refused to comply with the law. The state prosecuted and punished Kate and Jim for violating the law and refusing to send their children to school.

A. What protection(s) and amendment(s) are involved?

B. Should Kate and Jim be prosecuted for refusing to send their children to school?

YOU BE THE JUDGE! (CONT.)

SCENARIO 5

Darren was arrested on suspicion of kidnapping and rape. He was taken to the police station, where the victim picked Darren out of a lineup. An officer pointed to a woman in the police station and asked if she was the victim. Darren told them, "Yeah, that's her." The police then took him to an interrogation room where he was questioned for two hours. He verbally confessed to the crime, and signed a written statement, prepared by the police, admitting his guilt. Darren's confession included a statement that he was aware of his rights, and that any statements he made could be used against him. However, the police made little effort throughout the interrogation to allow Darren access to a lawyer, or generally notify of him of his rights.

- A. What protection(s) and amendment(s) are involved?

- B. Should Darren's confession be allowed as evidence at trial?

SCENARIO 6

Elaine, a respected physician in the community, was accused of murdering her husband, Adam. Elaine continually maintained her innocence in Adam's death. The murder trial was a media sensation—reporters were in the courtroom, and were even assigned seats between the jurors and the defendant. The story was all over the local and state press for weeks. Editorials demanded a guilty verdict. The jury was not sequestered and had access to the media coverage. Elaine was found guilty. After her conviction, Elaine claimed that the extensive media coverage tainted her prosecution, and led to an unfair guilty verdict. She appealed her conviction, arguing that the media coverage biased the opinions of those in her community, requiring that her guilty verdict be overturned.

- A. What protection(s) and amendment(s) are involved?

- B. Should Elaine's conviction for Adam's murder be overturned?
